

Non-destructive measurements of internal quality indicators for ANJOU AND BOSC PEARS, *PYRUS COMMUNIS*

Author: Kimberly Popek

Sugar content is an important metric in determining proper harvest time and eating quality in pears. The ability to quickly and accurately measure the internal properties of a fruit helps save time and money, especially when non-destructive methods are used. To determine effectiveness and viability of the F-750 Produce Quality Meter in measuring internal sugar content, a study was carried out sampling 45 Anjou and Bosc pears. A refractometer was used to collect reference values of <u>total soluble solids</u>, a representative value for <u>Brix</u>. Measured values were correlated with the spectral data collected on the F-750, and results show that the F-750 Produce Quality Meter precisely and <u>non-destructively</u> measures total soluble solids, with a calculated root mean square error of prediction of 0.74 TSS. Similar results have been found for other pear varieties, and the F-750 has been validated for measurements of other fruit metrics such as <u>dry matter</u> and <u>acidity</u>.

Materials and Methods:



spectral data collected with the instrument using the Model Builder software included with the F-750. A spectral rang of 729-975 nm was used with the Model Builder software to detect correlations between the spectral signal and TSS. The resulting data were analyzed for linearity, root mean squared error, and cross validation error to determine the applicability and accuracy of the created model. This experiment was repeated with five additional F-750's to determine repeatability and consistency in building a TSS model for pears.

In March 2015, 45 pears were measured using an F-750 Produce Quality Meter. Pears were selected across a range of colors and sizes. Next, the same pear regions measured with the F-750 were destructively measured for total soluble solids (TSS) using a refractometer. These reference values were analyzed alongside the

Figure 1. F-750 in the process of measuring pears.



Application Note

May 5, 2015

Results and Discussion:

Results show that the F-750 Produce precisely Quality Meter and nondestructively measures the internal properties of pears. A strong correlation between spectral data and collected reference values is illustrated by a model prediction R^2 of 0.95. Figure 2 displays this correlation and demonstrates the consistency of measurement. A calculated root mean square error of cross validation (RMSECV) of 0.74 further illustrates the accuracy of the created model. This



Figure 2. Prediction error for pears using the F-750. Specimen most strongly correlated to the calculated trend line show the greatest accuracy of prediction (Red; Cross validation Prediction, Blue; Training set prediction).

RMSECV value indicates the amount of error °Brix the model is expected to display for a given measurement when loaded onto the F-750 and used to predict TSS. Both Bosc and Anjou pears were used in this study, and no differences in performance were detected between the two varieties for the spectral range selected.

Conclusions:

The F-750 Produce Quality Meter accurately predicted TSS using both Anjou and Bosc pears. Other tests and research findings (See Further Reading and Supporting Science section below) have demonstrated the ability to measure other important internal fruit metrics such as <u>dry matter</u> and <u>acidity</u> with the F-750. We expect similar results with other pear varieties.

Further Reading and Supporting Science:

Li, Jiangbo *et al.* (2014) A comparative study for the quantitative determination of soluble solids content, pH and firmness of pears by Vis/NIR spectroscopy. *Journal of Food Engineering*. 116(2) 324-332.

Liu, Yande and Ying, Yibin (2005) Optical system for measurement of internal pear quality using near-infrared spectroscopy. *Optical Engineering*, 44(7) 076403.

Paz, Patricia *et al.* (2009) Instantaneous quantitative and qualitative assessment of pear quality using near infrared spectroscopy. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture.* 69(1) 24-32.